

Fire Services Management Committee
05 March 2021

Building Safety update

Purpose of report

For discussion.

Summary

This report updates FSMC members on the LGA's building safety related work since its last meeting.

Recommendation

That members note and comment on the LGA's building safety related work.

Actions

Officers to incorporate members' views in the LGA's ongoing building safety related work.

Contact officer:	Charles Loft
Position:	Senior Adviser
Phone no:	020 7665 3874
Email:	Charles.loft@local.gov.uk



Fire Services Management Committee 05 March 2021

Building Safety update

Remediation

Progress

- <u>MHCLG statistics</u> show that by the end of January 2021, 91% (419) of all identified highrise residential and publicly owned buildings in England had either completed or started remediation work to remove and replace unsafe Aluminium Composite Material (ACM) cladding (93% of buildings identified in December 2019) – an increase of two buildings since the end of December 2020. During 2020, 159 buildings started works, compared to 90 in 2019 and 111 in 2018
- 2. There are no social sector residential buildings that have yet to begin remediation; 144 (92%) have had their ACM cladding removed.
- 3. 84% (178) of private sector buildings have either completed or started remediation. Of these, 115 (54%) have had their ACM cladding removed. 46 Student blocks have completed with 8 underway and none yet to begin.
- 4. While these statistics suggest a positive direction of travel ACM buildings represent around a sixth of the total number of buildings over 18m with dangerous cladding and the improved rate is the result of significant official effort and ministerial pressure on owners.

Joint Inspection Team (JIT)

- 5. MHCLG has confirmed that the JIT will continue working next year with a remit that expands to cove non-AM dangerous cladding, although the details have yet to be signed off.
- 6. The team's inspections were suspended in January due to Covid. However with the fall in the numbers of infections inspections resumed in February.

Waking watch relief fund

7. In December the Government announced that it would provide £30m to cover the cost of installing fire alarms in buildings that currently have a waking watch because they have dangerous cladding.



Local

Association

Government

- 8. The fund was launched on 31 January, it applies to private sector buildings over 18m¹ in England only. To be eligible a building must have an unsafe cladding system with a waking watch in place where these costs have been passed on to leaseholders.
- 9. Social sector buildings where the Registered Provider can evidence that waking watch costs have been passed to leaseholders and the costs of installing an alarm will fall on leaseholders will also be eligible.
- 10. The fund will only cover the cost of alarms installed on or after 17 December 2020.
- 11. There will be a staged roll out of the fund with a six-week period in which applications must be made. This begins on 31 January 2021 and ends on 14 March 2021 for all buildings except private sector buildings in London, where arrangements for administering the fund have yet to be completed.
- 12. Installation costs that are above a £1500 per dwelling threshold will require additional scrutiny and may require additional evidence from the applicant to show whether the costs are necessary and reasonable.
- 13. £22 million of the £30 million funding available has been allocated to the eight metropolitan areas estimated to have the largest number of eligible buildings across England based on <u>Building Safety Fund: registration statistics</u> with adjustments made to account for social sector funding. These allocations are:

Local/Combined Authority	Allocated Funding (£m)
Greater London	16.1
Greater Manchester	2.1
Birmingham	1.1
Leeds	0.7
Liverpool	0.5
Bristol	0.5
Newcastle	0.5
Sheffield	0.5
Total	

¹ Actually, over 17.70m in height when measured according to diagram D6 of Approved Document B (Annex A).



05 March 2021

- 14. In Manchester the Combined Authority will administer the fund; in London the GLA is expected to take on the role. In the other six areas local authorities have agreed to administer it.
- 15. The remaining £8 million of the fund will be centrally administered and is available to buildings across all other areas of England.
- 16. Guidance and application forms are available here.
- 17. The fund has been developed very quickly. While this has drawbacks (e.g. it is not large enough to meet demand) it is understandable given that delivering the fund quickly is essential if it is to be of any value.
- 18. LGA was consulted by MHCLG as part of its development of the fund. However, MHCLG tended to discuss the matter directly with the councils involved. At the time of writing the greater difficulty posed by administering the fund in London has not been overcome.

Leaseholder costs

- 19. In January the LGA published a position statement on leaseholder costs.
- 20. On 10 February, the Secretary of State announced further measures in response to the cladding crisis. This consisted of:
 - 20.1. £3.5bn to pay for cladding remediation over 18m. This is simply an increase (a very substantial one) in the £1bn already announced for the non-ACM Building Safety Fund. There is a 30cm tolerance in the 'over 18m' requirement, meaning that buildings over 17.7m can apply. The fund only covers external wall systems but does cover insulation as well as cladding. The Government website implies that the new money will be subject to the same limitations as the existing non-ACM fund, which would mean that it will not cover balconies, but would cover render-based systems and cavity barrier issues within cladding systems. We are checking these details with MHCLG.
 - 20.2. Low interest loans for cladding remediation for buildings of 4-6 stories or 11-18m. Interest payments will be capped at £50 per month for each leaseholder. We understand that costs that cannot be met through the repayments will be met by the taxpayer. It is unclear what effect this might have on the value of a flat. We are seeking further information from the Government
 - 20.3. Measures to make the industry pay. This comes in two parts:
 - 20.3.1. A 'Gateway 2' developer levy. This will be payable when developments pass through Gateway Two of the new Building Safety system (GW2). GW2 will not exist until the Building Safety Bill becomes law at some point in 2022 and will only apply to 'high risk' residential buildings (currently defined as those over 18m). GW2 is the beginning of construction. It is likely that this announcement will encourage developers to seek planning permission as soon as possible and seek to technically commence work before the levy can be introduced. This



US March 2021

would be unfortunate, given that the purpose of GW2 is to ensure buildings are built safely. The LGA will raise this with MHCLG.

- 20.3.2. A new tax will be introduced for the UK residential property development sector. This will raise at least £2 billion over a decade to help pay for cladding remediation costs. The tax will ensure that the largest property developers make a fair contribution to the remediation programme, reflecting the benefit they will derive from restoring confidence to the UK housing market. The government will consult on the policy design in due course.
- 21. We understand the Government is not going to help social providers with remediation costs except where these might fall on leaseholders or where a provider's viability is threatened.

Will this solve the problem?

- 22. The initial reaction from cladding campaigners has been negative, based on two arguments about fairness.
 - 22.1. Why should cladding issues be covered when other fire safety issues are not? The Government has previously indicated that cladding poses a particular risk because it spreads fire, while compartmentation issues are simply a failure of measures that resist the spread of fire. While this is a valid safety distinction that could usefully be taken into account when considering the need for remediation, once remediation is deemed necessary the financial consequences of these building/regulatory failures for leaseholders are the same.
 - 22.2. Why should buildings over 18m have all their costs covered while those in blocks of 4-6 storeys only get low-interest loans and those in blocks under 4 storeys get nothing? Again, the Government argues that the higher blocks pose a greater danger (which is broadly true) and once again, although this might mean remediation is less likely to be required in lower blocks, it is irrelevant once remediation is deemed necessary.
- 23. Aside from arguments about fairness, the success or failure of this initiative is likely to rest on whether it resolves the problems of mortgage lending and unblocks the housing market as indicated in the Government's stated aims.
- 24. These measures will not in themselves remove the demand for EWS1 forms, because buyers will want a clear indication of what the position in relation to a given block is. The difficulty in obtaining these forms derives from a lack of qualified surveyors and the difficulty of obtaining professional indemnity insurance, so in that respect the measures above are unlikely to have any effect.
- 25. It is conceivable that in blocks of 4 storeys and higher, where the only remediation required is covered by the new arrangements (i.e. only cladding is affected), flats will be mortgageable and sellable once the funding is in place whether a grant or a loan (whether buyers will be found before remediation is complete must be open to question).



05 March 2021

- 26. However it is unclear what the impact of remediation loans on prices will be for blocks between 4 and 6 storeys and how mortgage lenders will react to clients whose flats have been reduced in value by the effect of remediation loans but whose outgoings are now increased by the cost of those loans.
- 27. A key unknown is what proportion of blocks *only* have cladding issues. Our understanding is that in one major city half the blocks with interim measures do not have cladding issues and would therefore not benefit from these measures. Moreover, some of the buildings with cladding issues will have other issues *as well* and so will only derive partial relief from the new arrangements.
- 28. If this is a typical scenario it seems likely that a significant proportion of flats will remain unmortgageable for the foreseeable future, even if the EWS1 issues are resolved and that the measures outlined may not bring relief even to all leaseholders over 18m with cladding issues. However, this is very much an initial impression and members may have additional points.

Fire Protection Board

- 29. The Building Risk Review programme overseen by the Board, remains ahead of its target schedule to ensure all residential buildings over 18m have been assessed or inspected by the end of 2021.
- 30. The Board has begun to discuss the need to bring together the work on identifying and regulating buildings with fire safety defects in the run up to the establishment of the Building Safety Regulator. This work includes
 - 30.1. Ensuring effective local partnerships exist and facilitating them where they do not.
 - 30.2. Ensuring those partnerships are supported by relevant national guidance (The Protocol that was designed to govern councils/FRS partnerships in this area is being updated, but there also needs to be assurance that guidance is not issued to the FRS without thought to the role of the LA and vice versa).
 - 30.3. Establishing effective national/local relationships in particular in the data field.
 - 30.4. Establishing reasonable enforcement expectations at a general level.
 - 30.5. Maintaining oversight of the whole to ensure we learn from/share experience and to identify any areas in need of additional support.
 - 30.6. Joining up government departments to avoid duplication/contradiction.
 - 30.7. Provide a forum for liaison between those overseeing the remediation programme and those (HSE) developing the future regime.

Reform

Building Safety Bill

31. The Government has still not responded to the HCLG Select Committee's pre-legislative scrutiny of the Bill (nor has it responded to the PAC report). Our understanding remains



05 March 2021

that the Bill will be introduced to Parliament before the summer with the intention that it receives Royal Assent around Easter 2022.

Fire Safety Bill

- 32. The Bill returned to the House of Commons for the start of ping pong on 24 February. The LGA briefed on the Bill ahead of this debate. The latest estimate we have is that it will commence in late April.
- 33. The LGA continues to be involved in producing guidance to accompany the commencement of the Bill.
- 34. Officer will be able to provide a verbal update on the Bill's progress at the meeting.

Letter to Minister

35. The lead members of the Fire Services Management Committee wrote to Lord Greenhalgh, the Minister for Fire and Building Safety, to ask that officials discuss with the LGA measures to ensure that extension of Permitted Development Rights does not undermine fire safety. We have yet to receive a reply.

Implications for Wales

36 Building regulations and fire and rescue services are devolved responsibilities of the Welsh Assembly Government, and the main implications arising from the recommendations of the Hackitt Review and the government's response to it are on building regulations and fire safety in England. However the Welsh government has announced that it will be making the changes recommended in the report to the regulatory system in Wales, and the LGA has been keeping in contact to ensure the WLGA is kept informed of the latest developments in England.

Financial Implications

37 Although the LGA has set up the Joint Inspection Team, the cost of doing so is being met by MHCLG. Other work arising from this report will continue to be delivered within the planned staffing budget, which includes an additional fixed term post in the safer communities team to support the LGA's building safety work.

Next steps

38 Officers to continue to support the sector's work to keep residents safe and reform the buildings safety system, as directed by members.